

School of Built Environment And Engineering

Undergraduate

- BSCCM Construct Comm Mgt Full(UG)
- BSCNM Construction Management(UG)
- BSHND Building Studies(UG)
- CONMT Construction Management(UG)
- MCONM Construction Management(TP)
- PROMC BSCH PROJECT MANAGEMENT (C FT(UG)

Please indicate, below, whether you agree with the statements about the threshold standards of Leeds Beckett University's awards, student achievement and the conduct of the University's assessment processes, using as a reference the framework for higher education qualifications and applicable Subject Benchmark Statements / Qualification Characteristic Statements. Please also list any shortcomings and areas for commendation. You should expand on any issues you mention here in the main report. If any boxes are ticked "No" the Dean of School or nominee will be alerted and will oversee the response from the Course Director.

Please also list any shortcomings and areas for commendation. You should expand on any issues you mention here in the main report. If any boxes are ticked "No" the Dean of School or nominee will be alerted and will oversee the response from the Course Director.

Standards Set

	Yes	No
"In my view, the threshold academic standards set for the modules/awards meet with the requirements of the relevant National Qualifications Statement's."	X	

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short:

Student achievement

	Yes	No	N/A*
"In my view, students' who have been awarded qualifications have had the opportunity to achieve standards beyond the threshold level that are reasonably comparable with those achieved in course(s) or subjects in other UK institutions with which I am familiar." *Not applicable – if you are a practitioner and are not in a position to assess this statement, please note here:	X		

Please provide any further comment on the comparability of collaborative provision

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short:

Conduct of process

	Yes	No
"In my view, the processes for assessment, examination and the determination of awards are reliable, rigorous and fairly conducted."	X	

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short.

Actions from last year's report

(This will not be relevant if you are examining for the first time)

Yes

Areas of good practice/commendation

Any particular strengths or distinctive or innovative features in relation to learning, teaching and assessment:

The use of MyBeckett is to be commended and the administrative procedures throughout were excellent.

Main report

In this section you are asked to describe more fully how the University has or has not maintained threshold academic standards and the quality of the student experience in relation to the course(s) for which you are the external examiner, using as a reference the framework for higher education qualifications and applicable Subject Benchmark Statements / Qualification Characteristic Statements.

Please complete all sections of the form fully and where not applicable please state N/A. Where applicable please also complete the sections for any collaborative provision sampled.

If you are an external examiner for any of the University's Pearson Licensed Centre Programmes (HND/HNC level) provision, please also complete the section on page 9 sections l, m and n entitled "for External Examiners Associated with Pearson Licensed Centre Programmes."

Professional Body Requirements

	Yes	No	N/A*
"In my view, the professional body requirements for this course have been met. *Not applicable if the course is not a professional body course please indicate here.	X		
If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short.			

(a) The operation and conduct of the Progression and Award Board (and/or Module Board meeting you may also have attended).

External Examiner's report summary

This was run well but was extremely long. Need to have more trust in the pre-board. I thank Mark Wilson and the team for providing me with the information and for all the communication. Mark and his team have done a great job with regards to this.

(b) The action, if any was required, taken in response to your report of last year. (This will not be relevant if you are examining for the first time.)

(c) The overall performance of the students, in relation to that of comparable levels of work in other institutions.

The overall performance of the students this year is HIGHER at Leeds Beckett with a high amount of first class degrees.

(d) The strengths and weaknesses of the students in general with respect to knowledge, conceptual grasp or application of skills.

The students work in general was very strong, good overall grasp of the subject area.

(e) The standards of the structure, organisation, design and marking of all examination papers and/or other forms of assessment.

Difficult to comment on the exam papers in the current situation but the marking in most cases was rigorous.

(f) The curriculum, teaching or resourcing of the programme of study as indicated by the performance of the students in the assessment

Agreed.

(g) Comments on the use of My Beckett (Virtual Learning Environment) within the course (if applicable).

Excellent use of mybeckett. Very strong system, easy to navigate and well updated with information and material.

(h) Module content, consistency of modules and module assessment across the course and the achievement

you examine.)

Consistency of assessment was good overall but I have concerns on the dissertation module. There was scant evidence of any second marking. The dissertation had an agreed mark but the overall evidence of how staff arrive at that mark is not evident. This approach must change, this is not a standard approach across UK HE institutions and I also would like to hear the view of the team on why the dissertation is assessed as 16/84%?

(i) Areas of student/staff engagement in teaching and learning, scholarship, research or professional practice.

Difficult to comment on this from a remote perspective.

(j) The University welcomes external examiners' comments on its academic regulatory framework. Such comments may not have a direct bearing on standards set and achieved or the conduct of processes and so it may not be appropriate to include them elsewhere in this report or its summary. Please record any concerns or comments you may have here.

The framework is fine but I have concerns on the number of first class degrees and I do have concerns that your covid-19 regulations are favoured to far in favour of the students.

(k) Collaborative Provision: please include here any comments you wish to make on elements of collaborative provision for which you have responsibility (in addition to those you may have indicated previously in this report).