

## Clinical And Applied Sciences

### Postgraduate

- 53688 Research and Practice Developm(L7)
- DIETM Dietetics(TP)
- MSCNN Clinical Nutrition(TP)
- MSCNP Nutrition in Practice(TP)

Please indicate, below, whether you agree with the statements about the threshold standards of Leeds Beckett University's awards, student achievement and the conduct of the University's assessment processes, using as a reference the framework for higher education qualifications and applicable Subject Benchmark Statements / Qualification Characteristic Statements. Please also list any shortcomings and areas for commendation. You should expand on any issues you mention here in the main report. If any boxes are ticked "No" the Dean of School or nominee will be alerted and will oversee the response from the Course Director.

Please also list any shortcomings and areas for commendation. You should expand on any issues you mention here in the main report. If any boxes are ticked "No" the Dean of School or nominee will be alerted and will oversee the response from the Course Director.

#### Standards Set

	Yes	No
"In my view, the threshold academic standards set for the modules/awards meet with the requirements of the relevant National Qualifications Statement's."	X	

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short:

#### Student achievement

	Yes	No	N/A*
"In my view, students' who have been awarded qualifications have had the opportunity to achieve standards beyond the threshold level that are reasonably comparable with those achieved in course(s) or subjects in other UK institutions with which I am familiar." *Not applicable – if you are a practitioner and are not in a position to assess this statement, please note here:	X		

Please provide any further comment on the comparability of collaborative provision

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short:

## Conduct of process

	Yes	No
"In my view, the processes for assessment, examination and the determination of awards are reliable, rigorous and fairly conducted."	X	

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short.

## Actions from last year's report

(This will not be relevant if you are examining for the first time)

N/A

## Areas of good practice/commendation

Any particular strengths or distinctive or innovative features in relation to learning, teaching and assessment:

I have found the assessment briefs to be clear and the assignments engaging. I have particularly enjoyed reading the scripts submitted for the Enterprise in PHN Business case briefs, which I have found to be interesting and allow the students to be creative and ambitious. Feedback is clear and helpful, although I couldn't see any feedback for the individual reflection assignment. I would recommend that this is included in Turnitin for the student to compare and use at the same point of the assignment. Scripts were annotated throughout.

## Main report

In this section you are asked to describe more fully how the University has or has not maintained threshold academic standards and the quality of the student experience in relation to the course(s) for which you are the external examiner, using as a reference the framework for higher education qualifications and applicable Subject Benchmark Statements / Qualification Characteristic Statements.

**Please complete all sections of the form fully and where not applicable please state N/A. Where applicable please also complete the sections for any collaborative provision sampled.**

If you are an external examiner for any of the University's Pearson Licensed Centre Programmes (HND/HNC level) provision, please also complete the section on page 9 sections l, m and n entitled "for External Examiners Associated with Pearson Licensed Centre Programmes."

## Professional Body Requirements

	Yes	No	N/A*
"In my view, the professional body requirements for this course have been met. *Not applicable if the course is not a professional body course please indicate here.	X		

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short.

(a) The operation and conduct of the Progression and Award Board (and/or Module Board meeting you may also have attended).

These were all well run. I have attended 27th February via telephone contact, 14th July Progression board attended and 21 July 2020 final board attended 2-4pm

(b) The action, if any was required, taken in response to your report of last year. (This will not be relevant if you are examining for the first time.)

(c) The overall performance of the students, in relation to that of comparable levels of work in other institutions.

The students perform very well when compared with other institutions. The students appear to generally be of a high calibre and work hard to achieve their grades.

(d) The strengths and weaknesses of the students in general with respect to knowledge, conceptual grasp or application of skills.

Student demonstrate good knowledge and are able to apply it in a practical manner in most cases.

(e) The standards of the structure, organisation, design and marking of all examination papers and/or other forms of assessment.

All assignments are well structured and clear briefs allow the student to engage with and produce the required evidence of learning

(f) The curriculum, teaching or resourcing of the programme of study as indicated by the performance of the students in the assessment

Curriculum across the programme is well organised and has interesting and robust content.

(g) Comments on the use of My Beckett (Virtual Learning Environment) within the course (if applicable).

I have managed to negotiate the MyBeckett VLE well this year and can see it is well utilized by the team.

# External Examiner's report summary

(h) Module content, consistency of modules and module assessment across the course and the achievement of learning outcomes. (You may be asked by your School to provide detailed comments on the modules that you examine.)

Detailed module feedback has been given at each individual module moderation. The spread and consistency of modules is fair and works well for the students as far as I have observed.

(i) Areas of student/staff engagement in teaching and learning, scholarship, research or professional practice.

Staff engage well with the students and comments are supportive and helpful.

(j) The University welcomes external examiners' comments on its academic regulatory framework. Such comments may not have a direct bearing on standards set and achieved or the conduct of processes and so it may not be appropriate to include them elsewhere in this report or its summary. Please record any concerns or comments you may have here.

I have no concerns in this area.

(k) Collaborative Provision: please include here any comments you wish to make on elements of collaborative provision for which you have responsibility (in addition to those you may have indicated previously in this report).

NA