

Carnegie School Of Sport

Postgraduate

- MSCSB Sport & Exercise Biomechanics(TP)
- MSSAC Strength & Conditioning(TP)
- PCSAC Strength & Conditioning (2YR)(TP)

Please indicate, below, whether you agree with the statements about the threshold standards of Leeds Beckett University's awards, student achievement and the conduct of the University's assessment processes, using as a reference the framework for higher education qualifications and applicable Subject Benchmark Statements / Qualification Characteristic Statements. Please also list any shortcomings and areas for commendation. You should expand on any issues you mention here in the main report. If any boxes are ticked "No" the Dean of School or nominee will be alerted and will oversee the response from the Course Director.

Please also list any shortcomings and areas for commendation. You should expand on any issues you mention here in the main report. If any boxes are ticked "No" the Dean of School or nominee will be alerted and will oversee the response from the Course Director.

Standards Set

	Yes	No	
"In my view, the threshold academic standards set for the modules/awards me with the requirements of the relevant National Qualifications Statement's."	eet X		

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short:

Student achievement

	Yes	No	N/A*
"In my view, students' who have been awarded qualifications have had the opportunity to achieve standards beyond the threshold level that are reasonably comparable with those achieved in course(s) or subjects in other UK institutions with which I am familiar." *Not applicable – if you are a practitioner and are not in a position to assess this statement, please note here:	x		

Please provide any further comment on the comparability of collaborative provision

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short:



Conduct of process

	Yes	No	
"In my view, the processes for assessment, examination and the determination cawards are reliable, rigorous and fairly conducted."	f x		

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short.

Actions from last year's report (This will not be relevant if you are examining for the first time)	
Yes	

Areas of good practice/commendation

Any particular strengths or distinctive or innovative features in relation to learning, teaching and assessment:

Included in my report to the School

Main report

In this section you are asked to describe more fully how the University has or has not maintained threshold academic standards and the quality of the student experience in relation to the course(s) for which you are the external examiner, using as a reference the framework for higher education qualifications and applicable Subject Benchmark Statements / Qualification Characteristic Statements.

Please complete all sections of the form fully and where not applicable please state N/A. Where applicable please also complete the sections for any collaborative provision sampled.

If you are an external examiner for any of the University's Pearson Licensed Centre Programmes (HND/HNC level) provision, please also complete the section on page 9 sections I, m and n entitled "for External Examiners Associated with Pearson Licensed Centre Programmes."

Yes	No	N/A*
s) in whic	ch they f	all short
		Yes No s) in which they f

(a) The operation and conduct of the Progression and Award Board (and/or Module Board meeting you may also have attended).



All Boards run smoothly and regulations followed closely.

(b) The action, if any was required, taken in response to your report of last year. (This will not be relevant if you are examining for the first time.)
(c) The overall performance of the students, in relation to that of comparable levels of work in other institutions.
The standards of work are comparable to my own Institution as well other Institutions I am the External Examiner for.
(d) The strengths and weaknesses of the students in general with respect to knowledge, conceptual grasp or application of skills.
Generally, and consistently, the lower graded work typically presented lack of critical ability, lack of ability to synthesise information, as well as application and on occasion, lack of effort. On the other hand, there were also some excellent, in-depth, critical pieces of work, demonstrating that students were able to achieve higher marks. The marks reflected the level of work correctly.
(e) The standards of the structure, organisation, design and marking of all examination papers and/or other forms of assessment.
I found the standards robust and rigorous - and I was very pleased to see that any situations that come up are resolved with the students' best interest in mind.
(f) The curriculum, teaching or resourcing of the programme of study as indicated by the performance of the
students in the assessment
All aspects were appropriate and conducive to students' learning and performance.
(g) Comments on the use of My Beckett (Virtual Learning Environment) within the course (if applicable).
All was done very well, so use was very easy.
(h) Module content, consistency of modules and module assessment across the course and the achievement



you examine.)

Module content is appropriate, as is the marking procedures, while the modules were consistent across board.

(i) Areas of student/staff engagement in teaching and learning, scholarship, research or professional practice.

Utilising the Department's research is certainly a positive aspect enriching the curriculum / assessment and I would strongly encourage this practice to continue.

(j) The University welcomes external examiners' comments on its academic regulatory framework. Such comments may not have a direct bearing on standards set and achieved or the conduct of processes and so it may not be appropriate to include them elsewhere in this report or its summary. Please record any concerns or comments you may have here.

NA

(k) Collaborative Provision: please include here any comments you wish to make on elements of collaborative provision for which you have responsibility (in addition to those you may have indicated previously in this report).

NA