

Form 'External Examiners - School of Health' for External Examiners - School of Health

Submitted By	
Began	27 Jun 2023, 11:03 am
Finished	7 Jul 2023, 1:00 pm
Updated	7 Jul 2023, 1:00 pm
Status	Default
Actions	<p>Edit submission</p> <p>Print submission</p> <p>Delete submission</p>

Page 1 of 9



**LEEDS
BECKETT
UNIVERSITY**

Introduction

School of Health

Undergraduate

- FDYCL Young Childrens Learning & Dev(UG)

External Examiner ID

Name Of External Examiner:

First Name

Last Name

Collaborative Institution:

Collaborative Institution

Name of Collaborative Institution:

Wakefield College

Date of Main Progression and Award Board Attended:

3-Jul-2023

Introduction

External Examiners are required by the terms of their appointment to submit an annual report. The report will be considered in depth during course annual monitoring activity. A record of the University's responses to examiners' reports also forms part of the documentation for this activity. It is also used in compiling our annual report on external examining.

Your report will be widely circulated and shared with Students and therefore we ask you not to refer to anyone by name or in a way that allows identification of an individual.

Please complete all sections of the report unless they are not relevant (such as you do not examine Collaborative or Degree Apprenticeship Provision). This report must be **submitted within 28 days of the main Progression and Award Board** and failure to submit within the required timescale may result in termination of your tenure as an external examiner without good reason.

NO EXAMINING FEES WILL BE PAID IF YOU FAIL TO SUBMIT YOUR ANNUAL REPORT.

Page 1 of 9

Page 2 of 9

Section A

External Examiner's Report Summary

Please indicate below whether you agree with the statements about the threshold standards of Leeds Beckett University's awards, student achievement and the conduct of the University's assessment processes, using as a reference the [Framework for Higher Education Qualifications](#) applicable Subject Benchmark Statements / Qualification Characteristic Statements and [Leeds Beckett University regulations](#)

If any boxes are ticked "No" the Dean of School or nominee will be alerted and will oversee the response from the Course Director.

[\[HK1\]](#) Added Reference to correct section of Regulations in here.

Standards set

A1) "Threshold academic standards set for the modules/courses meet the applicable national academic standards." (required)

See 14.3.6a of regulations

Yes

Student achievement

A2) "Students who have been awarded qualifications have had the opportunity to achieve standards beyond the threshold level that are reasonably comparable with those achieved in course(s) or subjects in other UK institutions with which I am familiar." (required)

14.3.6b of regulations

Yes

A2b) Please provide any further comment on the comparability of any associated collaborative provision:

Conduct of processes

A3) "Processes for assessment and the determination of awards are reliable, rigorous and conducted in line with the regulations at all times." (required)

see 14.3.6c of regulations

Yes

Professional Body Requirements

A4) Do the learning outcomes and assessment of the courses allow successful students to meet the Professional Statutory and Regulatory Bodies criteria at the appropriate level'. (required)

see 14.3.6a of regulations

Yes

Actions from last year's report

A5) In respect of your feedback, has any required action from last year's report been satisfactorily responded to? (required)

N/A

Issues/point for clarity during the year

A6) Did you raise any issues/point for clarity throughout the year? (required)

No

Areas of good practice/commendation

A7) Please outline any particular strengths or distinctive or innovative features you have observed in relation to learning, teaching and assessment:

Wide range of assessment approaches. and outcomes for students.
Marking feedback detailed and links to LO.
Evidence of support and clarity through handbook, Moodle, Teams site and channels and tutors' responses through feedback and areas for development.

Page 2 of 9

Page 3 of 9

Section B

Academic Standards

Please advise on the Academic Standards for the Programme:

B1) Do the Courses and its modules continue to be coherent and generally up-to-date and at an appropriate level to enable students to meet the relevant aims and learning outcomes? (required)

Yes

B2) What do you believe were the strengths and weaknesses of the students in general with respect to knowledge, conceptual grasp or application of skills? (required)

Strengths- current issues inc. Covid and impact. Historical timeline of key events and the relational connections with their modules.

B3) Are the marking/grading criteria or marking schemes set at the appropriate level of study and have they been consistently applied including internal moderation processes? (required)

Yes

B4) Did students receive adequate and helpful feedback to inform their future learning? (required)

Yes

B5) In your view please indicate how well you feel the course prepares students for progression to managerial or professional employment or further study? (required)

0= not at all, 10= fully

8

B6) Please provide any further comments to indicate how the course could better prepare students for progression to managerial or professional employment or further study (if applicable)

Primary Education module - further updating of current pedagogical-based research and recent changes and development in DfE drawn on in identified reading, research and module content,

B7) Have you had the opportunity to comment on or contribute to a review of the course including any proposed modifications or enhancements to provision? (required)

Yes

B8) If you have answered no to any of the above or would like to add any further points of clarity, please expand in the box below:

Page 3 of 9

Page 4 of 9

Section C

Assessment

Please advise on the Assessment Process for the Programme:

C1) The internal assessment / examination procedures are comparable with similar awards in the UK. (required)

C2) Procedures for the Exam Boards were fairly and rigorously conducted (including procedures governing extenuating circumstances, academic misconduct and borderline performance), and in accordance with the University's Academic Regulations. (required)

C3) The design and structure of the assessment methods used were appropriate; there was comparability within and across modules/awards in terms of level and their effectiveness in measuring the overall learning outcomes. (required)

C4) There was sufficient rigour in the achievement of learning outcomes in professional placements / work-based learning / work experience (where relevant).

C5) The moderation process is rigorous and there is consistency in marking standards. (required)

C6) The range of exam papers / assignments provided for sampling purposes and their appropriateness in terms of subject / level / learning outcomes were appropriate. (required)

C7) If You have answered no to any of the above or would like to add any further points of clarity, please expand in the box below:

Page 4 of 9

Page 5 of 9

Section D

Organisation and Arrangements

Please advise on the organisation and arrangements for you undertaking this role:

D1) I was new in post this academic year. (required)

D2) The University has helped me to undertake my role effectively. (required)

D3) I am satisfied with the range of external examiner activities undertaken and with my involvement in assessment procedures at module level. (required)

D4) I am satisfied with the appropriateness and timing of information, of draft examination papers for approval and student work for moderation. (required)

D5) I am satisfied with the on-line induction training designed to familiarise External Examiners with the University's Regulations/Procedures concerning assessment.

Newly appointed External Examiners only

D6) I am satisfied with the level of support received from my mentor.

External Examiners new to the role only

D7) I am satisfied with the programme-level induction provided by the Course Director to familiarise me with the programme itself. (required)

D8) Are there any general or specific comments on the development and support offered by the University, especially improvements you would like to see:

Access to the providers VLE would be a benefit, this was enabled through a Teams meeting with the programme lead where their screen was shared as I did not have access to their VLE.

D9) If You have answered no to any of the above or would like to add any further points of clarity, please expand in the box below:

Page 5 of 9

Page 6 of 9

Section E

Collaborative Provision

Please indicate if you have been satisfied with the following:

E) Do you examine collaborative provision?

E1) Students' performance provided evidence of access to appropriate learning resources at the Partner.

Only complete if relevant.

E2) The operation and management of the assessment process and Board of Examiners between the University and partner was satisfactory. (required)

Only complete if relevant.

E3) The effectiveness of arrangements in place to ensure that the standards of awards are credible and secure, irrespective of where, or how, programmes are delivered, and who delivers them. (required)

Only complete if relevant.

E4) If you have answered no to any of the above or would like to add any further points of clarity, please expand in the box below:

Page 6 of 9

Page 7 of 9

Section F

Degree Apprenticeships

F1) Were you involved in the examination of Apprenticeship Provision?

Open comments

Page 7 of 9

Page 8 of 9

Section G

End Point Assessment

G1) I have seen evidence that Apprentices have the opportunity to practice the assessment methods that will be used at End Point Assessment before undertaking the End Point Assessment.

N/A

G2) If you examine integrated apprenticeship provision, please provide specific comments on the suitability and content of End Point Assessment:

G3) If you have answered no to any of the above or would like to add any further points of clarity, please expand in the box below:

Where applicable, a copy of your report will be shared with the Chief External Examiner who is appointed to provide oversight of related modules and/or courses.

Page 8 of 9

Page 9 of 9

Final Comments

Are there any other final comments you would like to make in relation to your role as External Examiner?

I agree that the Global standards are being met from the work samples and supported access to the resources and materials. There is good evidence that there is clear communication with the students through appropriate channels and they are engaged in their learning. The modules across L5 Sem 1 and 2; Research Project, Teacher Education and Current Issues offer a breadth of knowledge and some current thinking. The assessments are interesting, varied and challenging and offer the students the opportunities to be stretched and challenged. These link well to the module learning outcomes and this is fed back in the marker's feedback. The range of marks 30s-70s are supported by the marker's comments, in text and the marking criteria grade boundaries.

The lower scoring/graded scripts/assessments are identified by their lack of suitable supporting literature/research underpinning the work. This is a common feed forward and a recommendation is: for continuing to build in appropriate, current academic literature and explicit deconstruction of how academic writing is supported by sources and the synthesis of these, for improved outcomes and deeper understanding of quality suggested reading/research.

Recommendations for Primary Education- some of the theory and content, including recommended reading is outdated and needs to be post-National Curriculum 2014 and 2021 EYFS, to ensure current research, terminology and relevance for this module and for the students potential next academic pathways into PED.

Signposting to relevant DfE documents, Education Endowment Foundation (EEF), Core Content Framework (CCF) and the Early Career Framework (ECF) for Early Career Teachers (ECT); would be recommended for updating module content.

For the planning element of this module - recommended- for all texts to be sourced that are post 2014 to ensure they are drawing on National Curriculum and also most recent in adapting planning for all neuro-diverse learners and considering cognitive science, would be suggestions. This would add to the strength of this module.

The modules all have a wealth of support, direction and clarity around the breakdown of their content, as well as reminders from the tutors for key messages, deadlines and support.

End of Tenure Report

If you are at the end of your tenure as External Examiner, please provide an overview of the development of the programme during your term of office. This overview will be of value to the University, the programme team and to the incoming External Examiner.

Please include commentary regarding academic standards and student achievement across cohorts during the examiner's period of appointment:

This Section is only to be completed by external examiners at the end of their tenure.

Email Address (required)

Date (required)

Page 9 of 9

POWERED BY  simplicity