BA (Hons) Business Management Practice 2017/18

External Examiner's report summary

Please indicate in the relevant boxes below whether you agree with the statements about the threshold standards of Leeds Beckett University's awards, student achievement and the conduct of the University's assessment processes, using as a reference the framework for higher education qualifications and applicable Subject Benchmark Statements / Qualification Characteristic Statements.

Please also list any shortcomings and areas for commendation. You should expand on any issues you mention here in the main report. If any boxes are ticked "No" the Dean of School or nominee will be alerted and will oversee the response from the Course Director.

Standards set					
	Yes	No			
"In my view, the threshold academic standards set for the modules/awards are appropriate."	X				

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short.

I can confirm that the standards set for assessments are appropriate and adhere to rules and regulations. I was not provided with the module assessments prior to the examination period, thus I am unable to comment on the quality academic standards.

Student achievement			
	Yes	No	N/A *
"In my view, students' achievement is comparable with similar course(s) or subjects in other UK institutions with which I am familiar."	X		
Please provide any further comment on the comparability of collaborative provision.			

^{*} Not applicable – if you are a practitioner and are not in a position to assess this please indicate here.

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short.

All student grading is in support of national academic standards. Student achievement profiles are comparable with similar courses at this level of learning

Conduct of processes				
"In my view, the processes for assessment, examination and the	Yes	No		
determination of awards are rigorous and fairly conducted."	X			

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short.

Areas of good practice

Any particular strengths or distinctive or innovative features in relation to learning, teaching and assessment.

The UG progression and Awards boards provided a great opportunity, to discuss students' academic position and any other related issues. It was clear that students who did not pass the assessments, would be informed of the outcome from the board by the module tutors and academic support would be made available where necessary.

Main report

In this section you are asked to describe more fully how the University has or has not maintained threshold academic standards and the quality of the student experience in relation to the course(s) for which you are the external examiner, using as a reference the framework for higher education qualifications and applicable Subject Benchmark Statements / Qualification Characteristic Statements.

Please complete all sections of the form fully and where not applicable please state N/A. Where applicable please also complete the sections for any collaborative provision sampled.

If you are an external examiner for any of the University's Pearson Licensed Centre Programmes (HND/HNC level) provision, please also complete the section on page 9 sections I, m and n entitled "for External Examiners Associated with Pearson Licensed Centre Programmes."

Professional Body Requirements			
"In my view, the professional body requirements for this course have been met.	Yes	No	N/A *
*Not applicable if the course is not a professional body course please indicate here.			X
If your answer is ' no ', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respec	ct(s) in which t	they fall short.	·

(a) The operation and conduct of the Progression and Award Board (and/or Module Board meeting you may also have attended).

There was a clear explanation of the board expectations and the board meetings were conducted in a professional manner. All relevant documentation was made available, to ensure reference to each student was possible. All decisions made were consistent and in the interests of the students' progress and final achievements. The conduct of the board was in accordance with the University's regulations.

A good and innovative use of tablets to present the individual students profiles.

(b) The action, if any was required, taken in response to your report of last year. (This will not be relevant if you are examining for the first time.)

N/A

(c) The overall performance of the students, in relation to that of comparable levels of work in other institutions.

The students' overall performance and achievements commensurate with similar institutions I am familiar with.

(d) The strengths and weaknesses of the students in general with respect to knowledge, conceptual grasp or application of skills.

It is difficult to provide realistic comments as I had no access or review students' work.

(e) The standards of the structure, organisation, design and marking of all examination papers and/or other forms of assessment.

It is difficult to comment as I had no access or review students' examination papers and/or any form of assessment

(f) The curriculum, teaching or resourcing of the programme of study as indicated by the performance of the students in the assessment.

The curriculum design is appropriate to the programme of study and prepares students well for employability. The students' overall performances in assessments, are a reflection of the enrichment provided and quality of teaching of the module tutors.

(g) Comments on the use of My Beckett (Virtual Learning Environment) within the course (if applicable).

N/A

(h) Module content, consistency of modules and module assessment across the course and the achievement of learning outcomes. (You may be asked by your School to provide detailed comments on the modules that you examine.)

Based on the students' overall performance, it clear that there is appropriate module content which has all the relevant information to aid student learning and achievement. It is also clear that the module assessments are varied and align with learning outcomes.

(i) Areas of student/staff engagement in teaching and learning, scholarship, research or professional practice.

I have not observed any of these areas but would be happy to be made aware of such arrangements.

- (j) The University welcomes external examiners' comments on its academic regulatory framework. Such comments may not have a direct bearing on standards set and achieved or the conduct of processes and so it may not be appropriate to include them elsewhere in this report or its summary. Please record any concerns or comments you may have here.
 - i) Some of the decisions made at the board would have been discussed prior to the board
 - ii) Consider making use of the comment/recommendation column for all students
 - iii) The Board meeting took longer than the time allocated. Consider splitting the board into two sections, for example: Awards level and progression level. This will improve the quality and format of the Boards in the future
 - iv) Module: Global Economic Awareness: Some students engaged well in the 1st semester, however low engagement in 2nd semester. This was evidenced in 2nd assessment achievements. This module needs further attention.

(k)	Collaborative Provision: please include here any comments you wish to make on elements of collaborative provision for which you have responsibility (in addition to those you may have indicated previously in this report).
N/A	X

External Examiners' Report Checklist

Please comment for all boxes

Course Materials				
Did you	receive?	Yes	No	N/A
a.	Course Handbook(s)?	Х		
b.	Academic Regulations including any Professional Statutory Body requirements where appropriate (these may be included the Course Handbook)?	х		
C.	Module specifications (these may be in the Course Handbook)?			Х
d.	Assessment briefs/marking criteria?			Х

Draft examination papers					
		Yes	No	N/A	
a.	(i) Did you receive all the draft papers?			Х	
	(ii) If not, was this at your request?				
b.	(i) Was the nature and level of the questions appropriate?			Х	
	(ii) If not, were suitable arrangements made to consider your comments?				
c.	Were suitable arrangements made to consider your comments?			Х	

Draft coursework					
		Yes	No	N/A	
a.	(i) Did you receive all the draft coursework?			Х	
	(ii) If not, was this at your request?				
b.	(i) Was the nature and level of the coursework appropriate?			Х	
	(ii) If not, were suitable arrangements made to consider your comments?				
C.	Were suitable arrangements made to consider your comments?			Х	

Markir	ng Exar	mination Scripts			
			Yes	No	N/A
a.	٠,	Did you receive a sufficient number of scripts from both home and (if ropriate) collaborative partner students?			Х
	(ii)	If you did not receive all the scripts, was the method of selection satisfactory?			
	a)	Samples should be determined by a square root of cohort size, no smaller than However it should be borne in mind that not all work is assessed in a way that sample possible and allowance should be made. For example in subjects such a where there may be a requirement for an external to come and view a perform the exhibition of art work.	makes a s as the per	quare roof	ot arts
	b)	However it should be borne in mind that not all work is assessed in a way that sample possible and allowance should be made. For example in subjects such a where there may be a requirement for an external to come and view a perform the exhibition of art work. Samples to include all classification categories, and it is helpful to concentrate a include some fails.	makes a s as the per nance inst around th	square roo forming a ead, or to e bounda	ot arts o visit
	ŕ	However it should be borne in mind that not all work is assessed in a way that sample possible and allowance should be made. For example in subjects such a where there may be a requirement for an external to come and view a perform the exhibition of art work. Samples to include all classification categories, and it is helpful to concentrate a	makes a s as the per nance inst around th	square roo forming a ead, or to e bounda	ot arts o visit aries to
b.	b) c)	However it should be borne in mind that not all work is assessed in a way that sample possible and allowance should be made. For example in subjects such a where there may be a requirement for an external to come and view a perform the exhibition of art work. Samples to include all classification categories, and it is helpful to concentrate a include some fails.	makes a s as the per nance inst around th	square roo forming a ead, or to e bounda	ot arts o visit

Dissertations/project reports					
		Yes	No	N/A	
a.	Was the choice of subjects for dissertations appropriate?			Х	
b.	Was the method and standard of assessment appropriate?			Х	

Coursework/continuously assessed work					
		Yes	No	N/A	
a.	Was sufficient coursework made available to you for assessment from both home and (if appropriate) collaborative partner students?			Х	
b.	Was the method and general standard of marking and consistency satisfactory?			Х	

Orals/p	Orals/performances/recitals/appropriate professional placements				
		Yes	No	N/A	
a.	Were suitable arrangements made for you to conduct orals and/or moderate performances/recitals/appropriate professional placements?			Х	

Module Board/Progression and Award Boards				
		Yes	No	N/A
a.	Were you able to attend the meetings?	Х		
b.	Were the meetings conducted to your satisfaction?	Х		
c.	Were you satisfied with the recommendations of the Module Board/Progression and Award Boards?	х		