Standards set

# BA (Hons) Retail Marketing Management 2017/18

# **External Examiner's report summary**

Please indicate in the relevant boxes below whether you agree with the statements about the threshold standards of Leeds Beckett University's awards, student achievement and the conduct of the University's assessment processes, using as a reference the framework for higher education qualifications and applicable Subject Benchmark Statements / Qualification Characteristic Statements.

Please also list any shortcomings and areas for commendation. You should expand on any issues you mention here in the main report. If any boxes are ticked "No" the Dean of School or nominee will be alerted and will oversee the response from the Course Director.

Yes

No

| modules/awards are appropriate."  | /                |                 |       |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-------|
| If your answer is ' <b>no</b> ', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respe  | ct(s) in which t | hey fall short. |       |
| Student achievement   |                  |                 |       |
|   | Yes              | No              | N/A * |
| "In my view, students' achievement is comparable with similar course(s) or subjects in other UK institutions with which I am familiar." | /                |                 |       |
| Please provide any further comment on the comparability of collaborative provision.   |                  |                 |       |
| * Not applicable – if you are a practitioner and are not in a position to assess this pleas   | se indicate here | ·.              | I     |

| Conduct of processes  |     |    |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----|----|--|--|--|--|
| "In my view, the processes for assessment, examination and the  | Yes | No |  |  |  |  |
| determination of awards are rigorous and fairly conducted."   | 1   |    |  |  |  |  |
| If your answer is ' <b>no</b> ', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short. |     |    |  |  |  |  |

#### Areas of good practice

Any particular strengths or distinctive or innovative features in relation to learning, teaching and assessment.

If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respect(s) in which they fall short.

The chosen dissertation topics examined very contemporary marketing related issues. This clearly demonstrates that the students are engaging and being exposed to the latest developments and thinking in the field which will help them with their graduate careers.

## Main report

In this section you are asked to describe more fully how the University has or has not maintained threshold academic standards and the quality of the student experience in relation to the course(s) for which you are the external examiner, using as a reference the framework for higher education qualifications and applicable Subject Benchmark Statements / Qualification Characteristic Statements.

Please complete all sections of the form fully and where not applicable please state N/A. Where applicable please also complete the sections for any collaborative provision sampled.

If you are an external examiner for any of the University's Pearson Licensed Centre Programmes (HND/HNC level) provision, please also complete the section on page 9 sections I, m and n entitled "for External Examiners Associated with Pearson Licensed Centre Programmes."

| Professional Body Requirements   |                  |                 |       |
|--|------------------|-----------------|-------|
| "In my view, the professional body requirements for this course have been met.         | Yes              | No              | N/A * |
| *Not applicable if the course is not a professional body course please indicate here.  |                  |                 | /     |
| If your answer is 'no', please provide a brief statement (bullet points) of the respec | t(s) in which ti | hey fall short. |       |

(a) The operation and conduct of the Progression and Award Board (and/or Module Board meeting you may also have attended).

N/A

(b) The action, if any was required, taken in response to your report of last year. (This will not be relevant if you are examining for the first time.)

N/A As this is my first year of External Examining

(c) The overall performance of the students, in relation to that of comparable levels of work in other institutions.

The work presented to me was of the same/or comparable to levels of work in other institutions where I have previously worked and where I currently work.

(d) The strengths and weaknesses of the students in general with respect to knowledge, conceptual grasp or application of skills.

I received a good spread and range of grades for the dissertations which I saw. It was evident from reading through each of the dissertations that there was marked variability in the way students engage with the academic literature with some students at the high end fully understanding the nuances of referencing which was countered by those at the lower end who evidently struggled with utilising the relevant sources and referencing correctly.

(e) The standards of the structure, organisation, design and marking of all examination papers and/or other forms of assessment.

The standards of marking on the dissertations was very thorough with clear, useful and individualised feedback given to each student. The mark awarded reflected the feedback.

(f) The curriculum, teaching or resourcing of the programme of study as indicated by the performance of the students in the assessment.

From examining the dissertations, it is evident that students have access to a wide range of resources which is reflected in the contemporary nature of the dissertation topics selected by the students.

(g) Comments on the use of My Beckett (Virtual Learning Environment) within the course (if applicable).

From looking at the references used in the dissertations, students have access to a wide range of contemporary resources.

(h) Module content, consistency of modules and module assessment across the course and the achievement of learning outcomes. (You may be asked by your School to provide detailed comments on the modules that you examine.)

For the dissertation, the module content is relevant with clear Learning Outcomes.

(i) Areas of student/staff engagement in teaching and learning, scholarship, research or professional practice.

From examining at the dissertation titles presented to me, students are being exposed to the latest developments and thinking in this field which is testament to the course team as it is such a fast moving subject are.

(j) The University welcomes external examiners' comments on its academic regulatory framework. Such comments may not have a direct bearing on standards set and achieved or the conduct of processes and so it may not be appropriate to include them elsewhere in this report or its summary. Please record any concerns or comments you may have here.

N/A

(k) Collaborative Provision: please include here any comments you wish to make on elements of collaborative provision for which you have responsibility (in addition to those you may have indicated previously in this report).

| N/A |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|
|     |  |  |  |

For use from March 2017

## **External Examiners' Report Checklist**

## Please comment for all boxes

| Course  | Course Materials   |   |  |     |  |
|---------|--|---|--|-----|--|
| Did you | id you receive?  |   |  | N/A |  |
| a.      | Course Handbook(s)?  | / |  |     |  |
| b.      | Academic Regulations including any Professional Statutory Body requirements where appropriate (these may be included the Course Handbook)? | / |  |     |  |
| c.      | Module specifications (these may be in the Course Handbook)?   | / |  |     |  |
| d.      | Assessment briefs/marking criteria?  | / |  |     |  |

| Draft ex | Draft examination papers  |     |    |     |  |  |  |
|----------|---|-----|----|-----|--|--|--|
|          |   | Yes | No | N/A |  |  |  |
| a.       | (i) Did you receive all the draft papers?                               |     |    | /   |  |  |  |
|          | (ii) If not, was this at your request?                                  |     |    |     |  |  |  |
| b.       | (i) Was the nature and level of the questions appropriate?              |     |    |     |  |  |  |
|          | (ii) If not, were suitable arrangements made to consider your comments? |     |    |     |  |  |  |
| C.       | Were suitable arrangements made to consider your comments?              |     |    |     |  |  |  |

| Draft co | Draft coursework  |     |    |     |  |  |  |
|----------|---|-----|----|-----|--|--|--|
|          |   | Yes | No | N/A |  |  |  |
| a.       | (i) Did you receive all the draft coursework?                           |     |    | /   |  |  |  |
|          | (ii) If not, was this at your request?                                  |     |    |     |  |  |  |
| b.       | (i) Was the nature and level of the coursework appropriate?             |     |    |     |  |  |  |
|          | (ii) If not, were suitable arrangements made to consider your comments? |     |    |     |  |  |  |
| C.       | Were suitable arrangements made to consider your comments?              |     |    |     |  |  |  |

| Marking  | g Exa      | mination Scripts  |                         |            |            |
|----------|------------|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
|          |            |   |                         |            | ı          |
|          |            |   | Yes                     | No         | N/A        |
| a.       | (i)<br>app | Did you receive a sufficient number of scripts from both home and (if ropriate) collaborative partner students?   | /                       |            |            |
|          | (ii)       | If you did not receive all the scripts, was the method of selection satisfactory?   |                         |            |            |
| Re       | comn       | nended sample sizes at Leeds Beckett University are as follows:   |                         |            | •          |
|          | a)         | Samples should be determined by a square root of cohort size, no smaller than However it should be borne in mind that not all work is assessed in a way that sample possible and allowance should be made. For example in subjects such where there may be a requirement for an external to come and view a perform the exhibition of art work. | makes a s<br>as the per | quare roof | ot<br>arts |
|          | b)         | Samples to include all classification categories, and it is helpful to concentrate include some fails.  | around th               | e bounda   | ries to    |
|          | c)         | Samples to consist of internally moderated work, clearly evidencing the moder   | ation pro               | cess.      |            |
| b.       | Was        | the general standard and consistency of marking appropriate?  | /                       |            |            |
| c.       |            | re the scripts marked in such a way to enable you to see the reasons for the rd of given marks?   | /                       |            |            |
| Dissorts | ations     | /nraject reports  |                         |            |            |

| Disserta | Dissertations/project reports                             |     |    |     |  |  |
|----------|---|-----|----|-----|--|--|
|          |   | Yes | No | N/A |  |  |
| a.       | Was the choice of subjects for dissertations appropriate? | /   |    |     |  |  |
| b.       | Was the method and standard of assessment appropriate?    | /   |    |     |  |  |

| Course | Coursework/continuously assessed work  |     |    |     |  |  |
|--------|--|-----|----|-----|--|--|
|        |  | Yes | No | N/A |  |  |
| a.     | Was sufficient coursework made available to you for assessment from both home and (if appropriate) collaborative partner students? | /   |    |     |  |  |
| b.     | Was the method and general standard of marking and consistency satisfactory?   | /   |    |     |  |  |

| Orals/p | Orals/performances/recitals/appropriate professional placements   |     |    |     |  |
|---------|---|-----|----|-----|--|
|         |   | Yes | No | N/A |  |
| a.      | Were suitable arrangements made for you to conduct orals and/or moderate performances/recitals/appropriate professional placements? |     |    | /   |  |

| Module | Module Board/Progression and Award Boards   |     |    |     |  |  |
|--------|---|-----|----|-----|--|--|
|        |   | Yes | No | N/A |  |  |
| a.     | Were you able to attend the meetings?   |     | /  |     |  |  |
| b.     | Were the meetings conducted to your satisfaction?   |     |    | /   |  |  |
| c.     | Were you satisfied with the recommendations of the Module Board/Progression and Award Boards? | /   |    |     |  |  |